



This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 07/26/2013 and available online at <http://federalregister.gov/a/2013-17965>, and on [FDsys.gov](http://FDsys.gov)

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

**[CMS-2342-N]**

**RIN 0938-AR91**

### **Medicaid Program; Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits for FY 2012, and Preliminary FY 2013 Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Limits**

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the final federal share disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments for federal fiscal year (FY) 2012 and the preliminary federal share DSH allotments for FY 2013. This notice also announces the final FY 2012 and the preliminary FY 2013 limits on aggregate DSH payments that states may make to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities. This notice also includes background information describing the methodology for determining the amounts of states' FY DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This notice is effective on **[Insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]**. The final allotments and limitations set forth in this notice are effective for the fiscal years specified.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## **I. Background**

In general, in accordance with the methodology specified under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act), a state's federal fiscal year (FY) disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotment is calculated by increasing the amount of its DSH allotment for the preceding FY by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the previous FY. Also in accordance with section 1923(f)(3) of the Act, a state's DSH allotment for a FY is subject to the limitation that an increase to a state's DSH allotment for a FY cannot result in the DSH allotment exceeding the greater of the state's DSH allotment for the previous FY or 12 percent of the state's total medical assistance expenditures for the allotment year (this is referred to as the 12 percent limit).

Furthermore, under section 1923(h) of the Act, federal financial participation (FFP) for DSH payments to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities is limited to state-specific aggregate amounts determined in accordance with the methodology specified under such section. Under this provision, the aggregate limit for DSH payments to IMDs and other mental health facilities is the lesser of a state's FY 1995 total computable (state and federal share) IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the state's FY 1995 DSH allotment (as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997), or the amount equal to the product of the state's current year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage specified in section 1902(h) of the Act (the applicable percentage is the IMD share of DSH total computable expenditures as of FY 1995).

In general, we determine states' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a FY using the most recent available estimates of or actual medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures in their Medicaid programs and the most recent available change in the CPI-U used for the FY in accordance with the methodology prescribed in statute. The indicated estimated or actual expenditures are obtained from states for each relevant FY from the most recent available quarterly Medicaid budget reports (Form CMS-37) or quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64), respectively, submitted by the states. For example, as part of the initial determination of a state's FY DSH allotment (referred to as the preliminary DSH allotments) that is determined before the beginning of the FY for which the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits are being determined, we use estimated expenditures for the FY obtained from the August submission of the CMS-37 submitted by states prior to the beginning of the FY; such estimated expenditures are subject to update and revision during the FY before such actual expenditure data become available. We also use the most recent available estimated CPI-U percentage change that is available before the beginning of the FY for determining the states' preliminary FY DSH allotments; such estimated CPI-U percentage change is subject to update and revision during the FY before the actual CPI-U percentage change becomes available. In determining the final DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a FY we use the actual expenditures for the FY and actual CPI-U percentage change for the previous FY.

## II. Provisions of the Notice

### A. Calculation of the Final FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, and the Preliminary FY 2013 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

#### 1. Final FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Chart 1 of the Addendum to this notice provides the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. As described in the background section, in general, the DSH allotment for a FY is calculated by increasing the FY DSH allotment for the preceding FY by the CPI-U increase for the previous fiscal year. For purposes of calculating the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments, the preceding final fiscal year DSH allotments (for FY 2011) were contained in the **Federal Register** published on July 24, 2012 (77 FR 43301). For purposes of calculating the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments we are using the actual Medicaid expenditures for FY 2012. Finally, for purposes of calculating the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments, the applicable historical percentage change in the CPI-U for the previous FY (FY 2011) was 2.6 percent; we note that this is an increase from the estimated 2.4 percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2011 that was available and used in the calculation of the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments which were published in the July 24, 2012 **Federal Register** (77 FR 43301).

#### 2. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2013 Federal Share State DSH Allotments.

Chart 2 of the Addendum to this notice provides the preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. The preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments contained in this notice were determined based on the most recent available estimates from states of their FY 2013 total computable Medicaid

expenditures. Also, the preliminary FY 2013 allotments contained in this notice were determined by increasing the final FY 2012 DSH allotments as contained in this notice (and described in section II.A.1. above) by 2.4 percent, representing the most recent available estimate of the percentage increase in the CPI-U for FY 2012 (the previous FY to FY 2013). In this regard, we note that in September of 2012 for purposes of calculating preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments for states (which at that time was prior to the beginning FY 2013), we calculated such preliminary FY 2013 allotments by increasing states' preliminary FY 2012 allotments by 2.5 percent, representing the estimate of the percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2012 available at that time. Again, we note that the preliminary FY 2013 allotments contained in this notice were determined using the final FY 2012 DSH allotments contained in this notice, the most recent available estimates of FY 2013 Medicaid expenditures, and the most recent available estimate of the percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2012.

States' s final FY 2013 DSH allotments will be published in future rulemaking based on the states' four quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64) for FY 2013 available following the end of FY 2013 and the actual change in the CPI-U for FY 2012.

B. Calculation of the Final FY 2012 and the Preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits

Section 1923(h) of the Act specifies the methodology to be used to establish the limits on the amount of DSH payments that a state can make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. FFP is not available for IMD or DSH payments that exceed the IMD limits. In this notice, we are publishing the final FY 2012 and the preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits determined in accordance with the provisions discussed above.

Charts 3 and 4 of the “Addendum” to this notice detail each state's final FY 2012 and preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limit, respectively, determined in accordance with section 1923(h) of the Act

### **III. Collection of Information Requirements**

This notice does not impose any new or revised information collection or recordkeeping requirements. The requirements and burden associated with Form CMS-37 (OMB No. 0938-0101), and Form CMS-64 (OMB No. 0938-0067) are unaffected by this notice. Consequently, this notice, the Form CMS-37, and Form CMS-64 are not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

### **IV. Regulatory Impact Statement**

We have examined the impact of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review (September 1993), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 19, 1980, Pub. L. 96-354), section 1102(b) of the Act, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (March 22, 1995; Pub. L. 104-4), Executive Order 13132 on Federalism (August 4, 1999) and the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2)).

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for major rules with economically significant effects (\$100 million or more in any 1 year). This notice reaches the \$100 million economic

threshold and thus is considered a major rule under the Congressional Review Act.

The final FY 2012 DSH allotments being published in this notice are approximately \$20 million more than the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments published in the July 24, 2012 **Federal Register** (77 FR 43301). The increase in the final FY 2012 DSH allotments is due to the difference between the actual percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2011 used in the calculation of the final FY 2012 allotments [2.6 percent) as compared to the estimated percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2011 used in the calculation of the preliminary FY 2012 allotments (2.4 percent). The final FY 2012 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are approximately \$1 million more than the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH limits published in the July 24, 2012 **Federal Register** (77 FR 43301). The increases in the IMD DSH limits are because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY. Since the final FY 2012 DSH allotments were increased as compared to the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments, the associated FY 2012 IMD DSH limits for some states were also increased.

The preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments being published in this notice are about \$182 million more than the final FY 2012 DSH allotments being published in this notice. The increase in the DSH allotments is due to the application of the statutory formula for calculating DSH allotments under which the prior fiscal year allotments are increased by the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year. The preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are about \$13 million more than the final FY 2012 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice. The increase in the IMD DSH limits is because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY. Since the preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments are greater than

the final FY 2012 DSH allotments, the associated preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH limits for some states also increased.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small businesses, if a rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of less than \$7.0 million to \$34.5 million in any one year. Individuals and states are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Specifically, any impact on providers is due to the effect of the various controlling statutes; providers are not impacted as a result of the independent regulatory action in publishing this notice. The purpose of the notice is to announce the latest distributions as required by the statute.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Core-Based Statistical Area for Medicaid payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds. We are not preparing analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

The Medicaid statute specifies the methodology for determining the amounts of



states' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits; and as described previously, the application of the methodology specified in statute results in the decreases or increases in states' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for the applicable FYs. The statute applicable to these allotments and limits does not apply to the determination of the amounts of DSH payments made to specific DSH hospitals; rather, these allotments and limits represent an overall limit on the total of such DSH payments. In this regard, we do not believe that this notice will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2013, that threshold is approximately \$141 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on state, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or on the private sector.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on state and local governments, preempts state law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. Since this notice does not impose any costs on state or local governments, the requirements of E.O. 13132 are not applicable.

#### Alternatives Considered

The methodologies for determining the states' fiscal year DSH allotments and IMD DSH Limits, as reflected in this notice, were established in accordance with the methodologies and formula for determining states' allotments as specified in statute. This

notice does not put forward any further discretionary administrative policies for determining such allotments.

#### Accounting Statement

As required by OMB Circular A-4 (available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a004/a-4.pdf>), in the Table 1, we have prepared an accounting statement showing the classification of the estimated expenditures associated with the provisions of this notice. Table 1 provides our best estimate of the change (decrease) in the federal share of states' Medicaid DSH payments resulting from the application of the provisions of the Medicaid statute relating to the calculation of states' FY DSH allotments and the increase in the FY DSH allotments from FY 2012 to FY 2013.

**Table 1 -- Accounting Statement: Classification of Estimated Expenditures, from the FY 2012 to FY 2013 (in Millions)**

Category	TRANSFERS
Annualized Monetized Transfers	\$182
From Whom To Whom?	Federal Government to States

#### Congressional Review Act

This proposed regulation is subject to the Congressional Review Act provisions of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) and has been transmitted to the Congress and the Comptroller General for review.

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

CMS-2342-N

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program)

**Dated:** June 20, 2013.

---

**Marilyn Tavenner,**  
Administrator,  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid  
Services.

**Dated:** July 19, 2013\_\_\_\_\_

---

**Kathleen Sebelius,**  
Secretary.  
Department of Health and Human Services.

**BILLING CODE 4120-01-P**

**Addendum**

This addendum contains the charts 1 through 4 (preceded by associated keys) that are referred to in the preamble of this notice.

**Key to Chart 1. Final DSH Allotments for FY 2012.**

**Key to Chart 1.— FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012**

**The Final FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are  
presented in the top section of this chart, and the**

**Final FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the  
bottom section of this chart.**

<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column A	State.
Column B	FY 2012 FMAPs. This column contains the states' FY 2012 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.
Column C	Prior FY (2011) DSH Allotments. This column contains the states' prior FY 2011 DSH Allotments as would be determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA.
Column D	Prior Fiscal Year (FY 2011) Allotment x (100% + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 102.6%. This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (2.6 percent).
Column E	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH. This column contains the amount of the states' FY 2012 total computable (TC) medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.
Column F	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures. This column contains the amount of the states' FY 2012 total computable DSH expenditures.
Column G	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the states' projected FY 2012 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column E minus the amount in Column F.
Column H	12% Amount. This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in federal share for the fiscal year, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.

Column I	Greater of FY 2011 Allotment or 12% Limit. This column contains the greater of the state's prior FY (FY 2011) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column C or Column I.
Column J	FY 2012 DSH Allotment. This column contains the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column I or Column D.  For states with "na" in Columns I or D, refer to the footnotes in the chart.

## CHART 1 - FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012

									2012
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2012 FMAPs	Prior FY (2011) Allotments	Prior Fiscal Year (FY 2011) Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/((1-.12)/COL B)*	Greater of Col H OR Col C (12% Limit, FY 2011	FY 2012 DSH Allotment
			102.6%				(In FS)	Allotment)	MIN Col D, Col I
ALABAMA	68.62%	\$307,525,116	\$315,520,769	\$4,980,627,414	\$458,470,904	\$4,522,156,510	\$657,669,473	\$657,669,473	\$315,520,769
ARIZONA	67.30%	\$101,258,270	\$103,890,985	\$7,902,936,657	\$194,651,219	\$7,708,285,438	\$1,125,716,333	\$1,125,716,333	\$103,890,985
CALIFORNIA	50.00%	\$1,096,339,537	\$1,124,844,365	\$48,965,395,434	\$2,101,502,957	\$46,863,892,477	\$7,399,561,970	\$7,399,561,970	\$1,124,844,365
COLORADO	50.00%	\$92,507,555	\$94,912,751	\$4,686,399,553	\$189,455,472	\$4,496,944,081	\$710,043,802	\$710,043,802	\$94,912,751
CONNECTICUT	50.00%	\$200,016,335	\$205,216,760	\$6,463,271,670	\$478,109,955	\$5,985,161,715	\$945,025,534	\$945,025,534	\$205,216,760
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	70.00%	\$61,255,002	\$62,847,632	\$2,099,098,380	\$61,103,120	\$2,037,995,260	\$295,157,934	\$295,157,934	\$62,847,632
FLORIDA	56.04%	\$200,016,335	\$205,216,760	\$17,794,004,730	\$365,483,097	\$17,428,521,633	\$2,661,292,513	\$2,661,292,513	\$205,216,760
GEORGIA	66.16%	\$268,771,950	\$275,760,021	\$8,299,066,366	\$415,817,421	\$7,883,248,945	\$1,155,588,811	\$1,155,588,811	\$275,760,021
HAWAII /1	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$10,000,000
ILLINOIS	50.00%	\$215,017,560	\$220,608,017	\$13,216,199,698	\$443,954,241	\$12,772,245,457	\$2,016,670,335	\$2,016,670,335	\$220,608,017
INDIANA	66.96%	\$213,767,459	\$219,325,413	\$7,450,053,558	-\$1,293,679	\$7,451,347,237	\$1,089,393,474	\$1,089,393,474	\$219,325,413
KANSAS	56.91%	\$41,253,369	\$42,325,957	\$2,633,525,501	\$74,168,771	\$2,559,356,730	\$389,186,350	\$389,186,350	\$42,325,957
KENTUCKY	71.18%	\$145,011,843	\$148,782,151	\$5,564,881,723	\$208,470,796	\$5,356,410,927	\$773,104,420	\$773,104,420	\$148,782,151
LOUISIANA /2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$731,960,000
MAINE	63.27%	\$105,008,576	\$107,738,799	\$2,369,701,164	\$41,241,661	\$2,328,459,503	\$344,813,652	\$344,813,652	\$107,738,799
MARYLAND	50.00%	\$76,256,228	\$78,238,890	\$7,564,182,204	\$36,324,863	\$7,527,857,341	\$1,188,609,054	\$1,188,609,054	\$78,238,890
MASSACHUSETTS	50.00%	\$305,024,911	\$312,955,559	\$12,660,753,340	\$0	\$12,660,753,340	\$1,999,066,317	\$1,999,066,317	\$312,955,559
MICHIGAN	66.14%	\$265,021,644	\$271,912,207	\$12,377,302,267	\$276,022,328	\$12,101,279,939	\$1,774,019,923	\$1,774,019,923	\$271,912,207
MISSISSIPPI	74.18%	\$152,512,455	\$156,477,779	\$4,432,068,902	\$210,532,157	\$4,221,536,745	\$604,349,172	\$604,349,172	\$156,477,779
MISSOURI	63.45%	\$473,788,694	\$486,107,200	\$8,620,708,926	\$755,588,598	\$7,865,120,328	\$1,163,946,087	\$1,163,946,087	\$486,107,200
NEVADA	56.20%	\$46,253,777	\$47,456,375	\$1,730,509,323	\$85,540,193	\$1,644,969,130	\$250,988,050	\$250,988,050	\$47,456,375
NEW HAMPSHIRE	50.00%	\$160,111,598	\$164,274,500	\$1,174,440,051	\$41,992,778	\$1,132,447,273	\$178,807,464	\$178,807,464	\$164,274,500
NEW JERSEY	50.00%	\$643,802,579	\$660,541,446	\$10,263,014,973	\$1,242,812,460	\$9,020,202,513	\$1,424,242,502	\$1,424,242,502	\$660,541,446
NEW YORK	50.00%	\$1,606,381,192	\$1,648,147,103	\$51,477,488,275	\$3,250,438,690	\$48,227,049,585	\$7,614,797,303	\$7,614,797,303	\$1,648,147,103
NORTH CAROLINA	65.28%	\$295,024,095	\$302,694,721	\$12,074,012,547	\$310,365,066	\$11,763,647,481	\$1,729,574,116	\$1,729,574,116	\$302,694,721
OHIO	64.15%	\$406,283,181	\$416,846,544	\$16,241,807,775	\$544,474,576	\$15,697,333,199	\$2,317,125,042	\$2,317,125,042	\$416,846,544
PENNSYLVANIA	55.07%	\$561,295,840	\$575,889,532	\$20,215,741,634	\$1,161,609,171	\$19,054,132,463	\$2,923,550,707	\$2,923,550,707	\$575,889,532

									2012
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2012 FMAPs	Prior FY (2011) Allotments	Prior Fiscal Year (FY 2011) Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH  Col E - F	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/(1-.12/COL B)*  (In FS)	Greater of Col H OR Col C (12% Limit, FY 2011 Allotment)	FY 2012 DSH Allotment  MIN Col D, Col I
			102.6%						
RHODE ISLAND	52.12%	\$65,005,309	\$66,695,447	\$1,841,508,177	\$127,714,099	\$1,713,794,078	\$267,167,340	\$267,167,340	\$66,695,447
SOUTH CAROLINA	70.24%	\$327,526,749	\$336,042,444	\$4,611,047,760	\$457,157,861	\$4,153,889,899	\$601,172,857	\$601,172,857	\$336,042,444
TENNESSEE /1	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$123,562,982
TEXAS	58.22%	\$956,328,103	\$981,192,634	\$27,523,481,436	\$1,515,965,665	\$26,007,515,771	\$3,931,174,993	\$3,931,174,993	\$981,192,634
VERMONT	57.58%	\$22,501,838	\$23,086,886	\$1,332,991,907	\$37,448,781	\$1,295,543,126	\$196,395,015	\$196,395,015	\$23,086,886
VIRGINIA	50.00%	\$87,614,730	\$89,892,713	\$6,806,627,571	\$214,541,182	\$6,592,086,389	\$1,040,855,746	\$1,040,855,746	\$89,892,713
WASHINGTON	50.00%	\$185,015,110	\$189,825,503	\$7,452,641,090	\$392,480,601	\$7,060,160,489	\$1,114,762,182	\$1,114,762,182	\$189,825,503
WEST VIRGINIA	72.62%	\$67,505,513	\$69,260,656	\$2,772,398,537	\$75,461,531	\$2,696,937,006	\$387,696,929	\$387,696,929	\$69,260,656
TOTAL		\$9,751,002,453	\$10,004,528,517	\$343,597,888,543	\$15,767,606,535	\$327,830,282,008	\$50,271,525,401	\$50,271,525,401	\$10,870,051,501
LOW DSH STATES									
ALASKA	50.00%	\$20,371,357	\$20,901,012	\$1,323,188,957	\$19,859,459	\$1,303,329,498	\$205,788,868	\$205,788,868	\$20,901,012
ARKANSAS	70.71%	\$43,141,306	\$44,262,980	\$4,105,082,591	\$61,447,395	\$4,043,635,196	\$584,415,830	\$584,415,830	\$44,262,980
DELAWARE	54.17%	\$9,053,936	\$9,289,338	\$1,484,381,209	\$12,647,971	\$1,471,733,238	\$226,863,997	\$226,863,997	\$9,289,338
IDAHO	70.23%	\$16,438,844	\$16,866,254	\$1,420,520,599	\$23,407,154	\$1,397,113,445	\$202,203,559	\$202,203,559	\$16,866,254
IOWA	60.71%	\$39,384,356	\$40,408,349	\$3,416,666,341	\$51,985,041	\$3,364,681,300	\$503,230,881	\$503,230,881	\$40,408,349
MINNESOTA	50.00%	\$74,694,976	\$76,637,045	\$8,661,424,765	\$47,645,449	\$8,613,779,316	\$1,360,070,418	\$1,360,070,418	\$76,637,045
MONTANA	66.11%	\$11,351,703	\$11,646,847	\$965,777,404	\$17,086,812	\$948,690,592	\$139,089,858	\$139,089,858	\$11,646,847
NEBRASKA	56.64%	\$28,300,533	\$29,036,347	\$1,675,943,349	\$41,928,603	\$1,634,014,746	\$248,791,923	\$248,791,923	\$29,036,347
NEW MEXICO	69.36%	\$20,371,357	\$20,901,012	\$3,420,434,313	\$56,394,276	\$3,364,040,037	\$488,137,692	\$488,137,692	\$20,901,012
NORTH DAKOTA	55.40%	\$9,552,761	\$9,801,133	\$732,046,343	\$1,163,524	\$730,882,819	\$111,956,428	\$111,956,428	\$9,801,133
OKLAHOMA	63.88%	\$36,215,744	\$37,157,353	\$4,397,686,300	\$36,151,183	\$4,361,535,117	\$644,444,557	\$644,444,557	\$37,157,353
OREGON	62.91%	\$45,269,681	\$46,446,693	\$4,542,895,184	\$69,278,705	\$4,473,616,479	\$663,371,155	\$663,371,155	\$46,446,693
SOUTH DAKOTA	59.13%	\$11,045,549	\$11,332,733	\$740,258,203	\$751,299	\$739,506,904	\$111,335,565	\$111,335,565	\$11,332,733
UTAH	70.99%	\$19,619,586	\$20,129,695	\$1,870,691,982	\$32,876,938	\$1,837,815,044	\$265,400,556	\$265,400,556	\$20,129,695
WISCONSIN	60.53%	\$94,540,543	\$96,998,597	\$6,978,470,509	\$101,257	\$6,978,369,252	\$1,044,469,048	\$1,044,469,048	\$96,998,597
WYOMING	50.00%	\$226,348	\$232,233	\$518,211,253	\$452,696	\$517,758,557	\$81,751,351	\$81,751,351	\$232,233



									2012
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2012 FMAPs	Prior FY (2011) Allotments	Prior Fiscal Year (FY 2011) Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH  Col E - F	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/(1-.12/COL B)*  (In FS)	Greater of Col H OR Col C (12% Limit, FY 2011 Allotment)	FY 2012 DSH Allotment
			102.6%					MIN Col D, Col I	
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES		\$479,578,580	\$492,047,623	\$46,253,679,302	\$473,177,762	\$45,780,501,540	\$6,881,321,686	\$6,881,321,686	\$492,047,621
TOTAL		\$10,230,581,033	\$10,496,576,140	\$389,851,567,845	\$16,240,784,297	\$373,610,783,548	\$57,152,847,088	\$57,152,847,088	\$11,362,099,122
FOOTNOTES:									
/1 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments are determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act. Under this provision, for FY 2012, Tennessee's DSH payments are limited to \$70,108,895 and Hawaii's DSH allotment is limited to \$10,000,000.									
/2 FY 2012 DSH allotment for Louisiana determined under the provisions of section 1903(f)(3)(C) and (D) of the Act.									

**Key to Chart 2. Preliminary DSH Allotments for FY 2013.**

<b>Key to Chart 2.— PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2013</b> <b>The Preliminary FY 2013 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Preliminary FY 2013 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.</b>	
<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column A	State.
Column B	FY 2013 FMAPs. This column contains the States' FY 2013 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.
Column C	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotments This column contains the States' prior FY 2012 DSH Allotments.
Column D	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotments (Col C) x (100% + Percentage Increase in CPIU): 102.4%. This column contains the amount in Column C increased by 1 plus the estimated percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (102.4 percent).
Column E	FY 2013 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2013 total computable (TC) medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.
Column F	FY 2013 TC DSH Expenditures. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2013 total computable DSH expenditures.
Column G	FY 2013 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2013 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column E minus the amount in Column F.
Column H	12% Amount. This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.
Column I	Greater of FY 2012 Allotment or 12% Limit. This column contains the greater of the State's prior FY (FY 2012)

**Key to Chart 2.— PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL  
YEAR: 2013**

**The Preliminary FY 2013 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are  
presented in the top section of this chart, and the  
Preliminary FY 2013 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in  
the bottom section of this chart.**

<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
	DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column C or Column H
Column J	<p>FY 2013 DSH Allotment.</p> <p>This column contains the States' preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column I or Column D.</p> <p>For states with "na" in Columns I or D, refer to the footnotes in the chart.</p>

## CHART 2 - PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2013

									2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2013 FMAPs	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotments	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2013 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2013 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2013 TC MAP EXP. Net Of DSH  Col E - F	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/(1-.12/Col B)*  (In FS)	Greater of Col H Or Col C (12% Limit, FY 2012 Allotment)	FY 2013 DSH Allotment
			102.4%						MIN Col I, Col D
ALABAMA	68.53%	\$315,520,769	\$323,093,267	\$5,329,850,000	\$471,003,000	\$4,858,847,000	\$706,832,022	\$706,832,022	\$323,093,267
ARIZONA	65.68%	\$103,890,985	\$106,384,369	\$8,671,536,000	\$213,742,000	\$8,457,794,000	\$1,241,820,961	\$1,241,820,961	\$106,384,369
CALIFORNIA	50.00%	\$1,124,844,365	\$1,151,840,630	\$66,298,257,000	\$919,513,000	\$65,378,744,000	\$10,322,959,579	\$10,322,959,579	\$1,151,840,630
COLORADO	50.00%	\$94,912,751	\$97,190,657	\$5,051,411,000	\$192,614,000	\$4,858,797,000	\$767,178,474	\$767,178,474	\$97,190,657
CONNECTICUT	50.00%	\$205,216,760	\$210,141,962	\$6,431,510,000	\$290,445,000	\$6,141,065,000	\$969,641,842	\$969,641,842	\$210,141,962
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	70.00%	\$62,847,632	\$64,355,975	\$2,263,613,000	\$57,226,000	\$2,206,387,000	\$319,545,703	\$319,545,703	\$64,355,975
FLORIDA	58.08%	\$205,216,760	\$210,141,962	\$19,230,077,000	\$383,399,000	\$18,846,678,000	\$2,850,560,048	\$2,850,560,048	\$210,141,962
GEORGIA	65.56%	\$275,760,021	\$282,378,262	\$8,369,129,000	\$415,995,000	\$7,953,134,000	\$1,168,201,938	\$1,168,201,938	\$282,378,262
ILLINOIS	50.00%	\$220,608,017	\$225,902,609	\$15,891,835,000	\$492,771,000	\$15,399,064,000	\$2,431,431,158	\$2,431,431,158	\$225,902,609
INDIANA	67.16%	\$219,325,413	\$224,589,223	\$8,205,160,000	\$296,676,000	\$7,908,484,000	\$1,155,475,965	\$1,155,475,965	\$224,589,223
KANSAS	56.51%	\$42,325,957	\$43,341,780	\$2,631,311,000	\$75,425,000	\$2,555,886,000	\$389,395,061	\$389,395,061	\$43,341,780
KENTUCKY	70.55%	\$148,782,151	\$152,352,923	\$6,003,011,000	\$207,429,000	\$5,795,582,000	\$838,008,492	\$838,008,492	\$152,352,923
LOUISIANA /3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$731,960,000
MAINE	62.57%	\$107,738,799	\$110,324,530	\$2,411,890,000	\$38,474,000	\$2,373,416,000	\$352,393,844	\$352,393,844	\$110,324,530
MARYLAND	50.00%	\$78,238,890	\$80,116,623	\$7,990,885,000	\$28,789,000	\$7,962,096,000	\$1,257,173,053	\$1,257,173,053	\$80,116,623
MASSACHUSETTS	50.00%	\$312,955,559	\$320,466,492	\$14,457,969,000	\$0	\$14,457,969,000	\$2,282,837,211	\$2,282,837,211	\$320,466,492
MICHIGAN	66.39%	\$271,912,207	\$278,438,100	\$13,054,597,000	\$489,458,000	\$12,565,139,000	\$1,840,484,453	\$1,840,484,453	\$278,438,100
MISSISSIPPI	73.43%	\$156,477,779	\$160,233,246	\$4,938,125,000	\$306,480,000	\$4,631,645,000	\$664,369,251	\$664,369,251	\$160,233,246
MISSOURI	61.37%	\$486,107,200	\$497,773,773	\$9,167,121,000	\$731,848,000	\$8,435,273,000	\$1,258,268,675	\$1,258,268,675	\$497,773,773
NEVADA	59.74%	\$47,456,375	\$48,595,328	\$1,788,469,000	\$81,265,000	\$1,707,204,000	\$256,359,532	\$256,359,532	\$48,595,328
NEW HAMPSHIRE	50.00%	\$164,274,500	\$168,217,088	\$1,194,634,000	\$76,100,000	\$1,118,534,000	\$176,610,632	\$176,610,632	\$168,217,088
NEW JERSEY	50.00%	\$660,541,446	\$676,394,441	\$10,885,937,000	\$1,285,149,000	\$9,600,788,000	\$1,515,913,895	\$1,515,913,895	\$676,394,441
NEW YORK	50.00%	\$1,648,147,103	\$1,687,702,633	\$60,543,793,000	\$3,138,550,000	\$57,405,243,000	\$9,063,985,737	\$9,063,985,737	\$1,687,702,633
NORTH CAROLINA	65.51%	\$302,694,721	\$309,959,394	\$12,152,941,000	\$348,212,000	\$11,804,729,000	\$1,734,242,863	\$1,734,242,863	\$309,959,394
OHIO	63.58%	\$416,846,544	\$426,850,861	\$17,759,856,000	\$0	\$17,759,856,000	\$2,626,998,785	\$2,626,998,785	\$426,850,861
PENNSYLVANIA	54.28%	\$575,889,532	\$589,710,881	\$21,684,533,000	\$811,678,000	\$20,872,855,000	\$3,215,644,000	\$3,215,644,000	\$589,710,881
RHODE ISLAND	51.26%	\$66,695,447	\$68,296,138	\$2,138,378,000	\$133,105,000	\$2,005,273,000	\$314,183,272	\$314,183,272	\$68,296,138

									2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2013 FMAPs	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotments	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2013 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2013 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2013 TC MAP EXP. Net Of DSH Col E - F	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/(1-.12/Col B)* (In FS)	Greater of Col H Or Col C (12% Limit, FY 2012 Allotment)	FY 2013 DSH Allotment
			102.4%						MIN Col I, Col D
SOUTH CAROLINA	70.43%	\$336,042,444	\$344,107,463	\$4,819,642,000	\$488,104,000	\$4,331,538,000	\$626,534,769	\$626,534,769	\$344,107,463
TENNESSEE /2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$53,100,000
TEXAS	59.30%	\$981,192,634	\$1,004,741,257	\$30,522,421,000	\$2,362,443,000	\$28,159,978,000	\$4,236,499,016	\$4,236,499,016	\$1,004,741,257
VERMONT	56.04%	\$23,086,886	\$23,640,971	\$1,453,569,000	\$37,449,000	\$1,416,120,000	\$216,238,051	\$216,238,051	\$23,640,971
VIRGINIA	50.00%	\$89,892,713	\$92,050,138	\$7,614,317,000	\$292,128,000	\$7,322,189,000	\$1,156,135,105	\$1,156,135,105	\$92,050,138
WASHINGTON	50.00%	\$189,825,503	\$194,381,315	\$7,263,797,000	\$408,769,000	\$6,855,028,000	\$1,082,372,842	\$1,082,372,842	\$194,381,315
WEST VIRGINIA	72.04%	\$69,260,656	\$70,922,912	\$3,049,170,000	\$70,185,000	\$2,978,985,000	\$428,926,208	\$428,926,208	\$70,922,912
TOTAL	0.00%	\$10,004,528,519	\$10,244,637,203	\$389,268,744,000	\$15,144,424,000	\$374,124,320,000	\$57,467,222,436	\$57,467,222,436	\$11,029,697,203
LOW DSH STATES									
ALASKA	50.00%	\$20,901,012	\$21,402,636	\$4,345,689,000	\$43,820,000	\$4,301,869,000	\$679,242,473.68	\$679,242,474	\$21,402,636
ARKANSAS	70.17%	\$44,262,980	\$45,325,292	\$1,580,616,000	\$16,393,000	\$1,564,223,000	\$226,429,145	\$226,429,145	\$45,325,292
DELAWARE	55.67%	\$9,289,338	\$9,512,282	\$1,656,878,000	\$19,283,000	\$1,637,595,000	\$250,510,411	\$250,510,411	\$9,512,282
HAWAII /1	51.86%	\$10,000,000	\$10,240,000	\$15,891,835,000	\$492,771,000	\$15,399,064,000	\$2,404,201,080.90	\$2,404,201,081	\$10,240,000
IDAHO	71.00%	\$16,866,254	\$17,271,044	\$1,804,672,000	\$22,633,000	\$1,782,039,000	\$257,338,513.22	\$257,338,513	\$17,271,044
IOWA	59.59%	\$40,408,349	\$41,378,149	\$3,701,627,000	\$53,705,000	\$3,647,922,000	\$548,131,133	\$548,131,133	\$41,378,149
MINNESOTA	50.00%	\$76,637,045	\$78,476,334	\$9,033,277,000	\$127,149,000	\$8,906,128,000	\$1,406,230,737	\$1,406,230,737	\$78,476,334
MONTANA	66.00%	\$11,646,847	\$11,926,371	\$1,035,493,000	\$18,023,000	\$1,017,470,000	\$149,228,933	\$149,228,933	\$11,926,371
NEBRASKA	55.76%	\$29,036,347	\$29,733,219	\$1,982,015,000	\$40,798,000	\$1,941,217,000	\$296,825,210	\$296,825,210	\$29,733,219
NEW MEXICO	69.07%	\$20,901,012	\$21,402,636	\$3,663,793,000	\$21,380,000	\$3,642,413,000	\$528,995,548	\$528,995,548	\$21,402,636
NORTH DAKOTA	52.27%	\$9,801,133	\$10,036,360	\$783,683,000	\$1,474,000	\$782,209,000	\$121,835,802	\$121,835,802	\$10,036,360
OKLAHOMA	64.00%	\$37,157,353	\$38,049,129	\$4,822,776,000	\$49,525,000	\$4,773,251,000	\$704,972,455	\$704,972,455	\$38,049,129
OREGON	62.44%	\$46,446,693	\$47,561,414	\$5,261,131,000	\$100,645,000	\$5,160,486,000	\$766,583,852	\$766,583,852	\$47,561,414
SOUTH DAKOTA	56.19%	\$11,332,733	\$11,604,719	\$792,356,000	\$2,821,000	\$789,535,000	\$120,472,428	\$120,472,428	\$11,604,719
UTAH	69.61%	\$20,129,695	\$20,612,808	\$2,104,256,000	\$29,583,000	\$2,074,673,000	\$300,818,582	\$300,818,582	\$20,612,808
WISCONSIN	59.74%	\$96,998,597	\$99,326,563	\$7,576,743,000	\$159,936,000	\$7,416,807,000	\$1,113,732,845	\$1,113,732,845	\$99,326,563
WYOMING	50.00%	\$232,233	\$237,807	\$548,369,000	\$463,000	\$547,906,000	\$86,511,474	\$86,511,474	\$237,807

									2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
STATE	FY 2013 FMAPs	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotments	Prior FY (2012) DSH Allotment (Col C) x 100% + Pct Increase in CPIU:	FY 2013 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH	FY 2013 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2013 TC MAP EXP. Net Of DSH	"12% Amount" =Col G x .12/(1-.12/Col B)*	Greater of Col H Or Col C (12% Limit, FY 2012	FY 2013 DSH Allotment
			102.4%				(In FS)	Allotment)	MIN Col I, Col D
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	0.00%	\$502,047,621	\$514,096,764	\$66,585,209,000	\$1,200,402,000	\$65,384,807,000	\$9,962,060,622	\$9,962,060,622	\$514,096,763
TOTAL	0.00%	\$10,506,576,140	\$10,758,733,967	\$455,853,953,000	\$16,344,826,000	\$439,509,127,000	\$67,429,283,058	\$67,429,283,058	\$11,543,793,966
<p>FOOTNOTES:</p> <p>/1 Begining FY 2013, under section 1923(f)(6)(B)(II) of the Act, Hawaii's DSH allotments are determined as for low-DSH states. This means its allotments are determined as for all States, by increasing the previous fiscal year allotment by the CPI-U for the previous fiscal year.</p> <p>/2 Tennessee's DSH allotments are determined under section 1923(f)(6)(A)(v)(II) of the Act. Under this provision, Tennessee's DSH payments for FY 2013 are limited to \$53,100,000</p> <p>/3 FY 2013 DSH allotment for Louisiana determined under the provisions of section 1903(f)(3)(C) and (D) of the Act.</p>									

**Key to Chart 3. Final IMD DSH Limit for FY 2012**

<b>Key to Chart 3.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012</b> <b>The final FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart</b>	
<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column A	State.
Column B	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	Applicable Percent Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent.
Column F	FY 2012 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the states' final FY 2012 DSH allotments.
Column G	FY 2012 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2012 DSH Allotments in Total Computable Col. F/G. This column contains States' FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	Col E x Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H)
Column J	FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the total computable amount of the FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in

<b>Key to Chart 3.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012</b> <b>The final FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart</b>	
<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column K	Column C or Column I. FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G x J. This column contains the FY 2012 federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2012 FMAP in Column G.



## CHART 3 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012

										2012
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	Inpatient Hospital Services for FY 95 DSH Total Computable	IMD And Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Applicable Percent  Col C/D	FY 2012 Allotment  In FS	FY 2012 FMAP	FY 2012 Allotments In TC  Col F/G	CoL E x Col H  In TC	FY 2012 TC IMD Limit (Lesser Of Col I or Col C)	FY 2012 IMD Limit In FS  Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$315,520,769	68.62%	\$459,808,757	\$4,903,398	\$4,451,770	\$3,054,805
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$103,890,985	67.30%	\$154,369,963	\$35,914,971	\$28,474,900	\$19,163,608
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.07%	\$1,124,844,365	50.00%	\$2,249,688,730	\$1,597,279	\$1,555,919	\$777,960
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$94,912,751	50.00%	\$189,825,502	\$647,030	\$594,776	\$297,388
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$205,216,760	50.00%	\$410,433,520	\$105,961,112	\$105,573,725	\$52,786,863
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$62,847,632	70.00%	\$89,782,331	\$12,753,279	\$6,545,136	\$4,581,595
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$205,216,760	56.04%	\$366,196,931	\$120,844,987	\$120,844,987	\$67,721,531
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$275,760,021	66.16%	\$416,807,771	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$10,000,000	50.48%	\$19,809,826	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$220,608,017	50.00%	\$441,216,034	\$97,336,849	\$89,408,276	\$44,704,138
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$219,325,413	66.96%	\$327,546,913	\$108,090,481	\$108,090,481	\$72,377,386
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$42,325,957	56.91%	\$74,373,497	\$24,543,254	\$24,543,254	\$13,967,566
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$148,782,151	71.18%	\$209,022,409	\$39,880,366	\$37,443,073	\$26,651,979
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$731,960,000	61.09%	\$1,198,166,639	\$131,461,977	\$131,461,977	\$80,310,122
MAINE	\$99,957,958	\$60,958,342	\$160,916,300	33.00%	\$107,738,799	63.27%	\$170,284,177	\$56,193,779	\$56,193,779	\$35,553,804
MARYLAND	\$22,226,467	\$120,873,531	\$143,099,998	33.00%	\$78,238,890	50.00%	\$156,477,780	\$51,637,667	\$51,637,667	\$25,818,834
MASSACHUSETTS	\$469,653,946	\$105,635,054	\$575,289,000	18.36%	\$312,955,559	50.00%	\$625,911,118	\$114,930,330	\$105,635,054	\$52,817,527
MICHIGAN	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.00%	\$271,912,207	66.14%	\$411,116,128	\$135,668,322	\$135,668,322	\$89,731,028
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$156,477,779	74.18%	\$210,943,353	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.42%	\$486,107,200	63.45%	\$766,126,399	\$217,734,528	\$207,234,618	\$131,490,365
NEVADA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$47,456,375	56.20%	\$84,441,948	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$92,675,916	\$94,753,948	\$187,429,864	33.00%	\$164,274,500	50.00%	\$328,549,000	\$108,421,170	\$94,753,948	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.66%	\$660,541,446	50.00%	\$1,321,082,892	\$431,505,706	\$357,370,461	\$178,685,231
NEW YORK	\$2,418,869,368	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,368	20.01%	\$1,648,147,103	50.00%	\$3,296,294,206	\$659,505,340	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,966	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,593	33.00%	\$302,694,721	65.28%	\$463,686,766	\$153,016,633	\$153,016,633	\$99,889,258
OHIO	\$535,731,956	\$93,432,758	\$629,164,714	14.85%	\$416,846,544	64.15%	\$649,799,757	\$96,497,121	\$93,432,758	\$59,937,114
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$579,199,682	\$967,407,001	33.00%	\$575,889,532	55.07%	\$1,045,740,933	\$345,094,508	\$345,094,508	\$190,043,546
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$66,695,447	52.12%	\$127,965,171	\$2,766,784	\$2,397,833	\$1,249,751
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$366,681,364	\$72,076,341	\$438,757,705	16.43%	\$336,042,444	70.24%	\$478,420,336	\$78,591,867	\$72,076,341	\$50,626,422

										2012
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	Inpatient Hospital Services for FY 95 DSH Total Computable	IMD And Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Applicable Percent  Col C/D	FY 2012 Allotment  In FS	FY 2012 FMAP	FY 2012 Allotments In TC Col F/G	CoL E x Col H  In TC	FY 2012 TC IMD Limit (Lesser Of Col I or Col C)	FY 2012 IMD Limit In FS Col G x J
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$123,562,982	66.36%	\$186,200,998	\$0	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,028,993	19.33%	\$981,192,634	58.22%	\$1,685,318,849	\$325,822,355	\$292,513,592	\$170,301,413
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$23,086,886	57.58%	\$40,095,321	\$12,520,127	\$9,071,297	\$5,223,253
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$7,770,268	\$137,083,748	5.67%	\$89,892,713	50.00%	\$179,785,426	\$10,190,712	\$7,770,268	\$3,885,134
WASHINGTON	\$171,725,815	\$163,836,435	\$335,562,250	33.00%	\$189,825,503	50.00%	\$379,651,006	\$125,284,832	\$125,284,832	\$62,642,416
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,606	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,651	22.00%	\$69,260,656	72.62%	\$95,374,079	\$20,982,433	\$18,887,045	\$13,715,772
TOTAL	\$13,402,460,846	\$4,118,758,904	\$17,521,219,750		\$10,870,051,501		\$19,310,314,466	\$3,630,299,198	\$3,392,027,231	\$1,907,882,783
LOW DSH STATES										
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$20,901,012	50.00%	\$41,802,024	\$13,794,668	\$13,794,668	\$6,897,334
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$44,262,980	70.71%	\$62,597,907	\$15,820,376	\$819,351	\$579,363
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$9,289,338	54.17%	\$17,148,492	\$5,659,002	\$5,659,002	\$3,065,482
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$16,866,254	70.23%	\$24,015,740	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$40,408,349	60.71%	\$66,559,626	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNESOTA	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$76,637,045	50.00%	\$153,274,090	\$27,317,654	\$5,257,214	\$2,628,607
MONTANA	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$11,646,847	66.11%	\$17,617,376	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$29,036,347	56.64%	\$51,264,737	\$11,241,257	\$1,811,337	\$1,025,941
NEW MEXICO	\$6,490,015	\$254,786	\$6,744,801	3.78%	\$20,901,012	69.36%	\$30,134,100	\$1,138,321	\$254,786	\$176,720
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$988,478	\$1,203,001	33.00%	\$9,801,133	55.40%	\$17,691,576	\$5,838,220	\$988,478	\$547,617
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,969	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,217	14.05%	\$37,157,353	63.88%	\$58,167,428	\$8,173,900	\$3,273,248	\$2,090,951
OREGON	\$11,437,908	\$19,975,092	\$31,413,000	33.00%	\$46,446,693	62.91%	\$73,830,381	\$24,364,026	\$19,975,092	\$12,566,330
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,299	\$1,072,419	33.00%	\$11,332,733	59.13%	\$19,165,792	\$6,324,711	\$751,299	\$444,243
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$934,586	\$4,555,702	20.51%	\$20,129,695	70.99%	\$28,355,677	\$5,817,066	\$934,586	\$663,463
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$96,998,597	60.53%	\$160,248,797	\$52,882,103	\$4,492,011	\$2,719,014
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$232,233	50.00%	\$464,466	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	\$98,662,480	\$63,238,167	\$161,900,647		\$492,047,621		\$822,338,209	\$178,371,303	\$58,011,072	\$33,405,065
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,326	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$11,362,099,122		\$20,132,652,675	\$3,808,670,501	\$3,450,038,303	\$1,941,287,848

**Key to Chart 4. Preliminary IMD DSH Limit for FY 2013**

<b>Key to Chart 4.--PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2013</b> <b>The preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart</b>	
<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column A	State.
Column B	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	Total Inpatient Hospital & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	Applicable Percentage, Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent.
Column F	FY 2013 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the states' preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments.
Column G	FY 2013 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2013 DSH Allotments in Total Computable, Col. F/G. This column contains states' FY 2013 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).

<b>Key to Chart 4.--PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2013</b> <b>The preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart</b>	
<b>Column</b>	<b>Description</b>
Column I	<p>Applicable Percentage Applied to FY 2013 Allotments in TC, Col E x Col H.</p> <p>This column contains the applicable percentage of FY 2013 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H).</p>
Column J	<p>FY 2013 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. I or C. This column contains the total computable FY 2013 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column I or Column C.</p>
Column K	<p>FY 2013 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G x J.</p> <p>This column contains the FY 2013 Federal Share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2013 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2013 FMAP in Column G.</p>

**CHART 4 - PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2013**

										2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	IMD And Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Applicable Percentage Col C/D	FY 2013 Allotment In FS	FY 2013 FMAPs	FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col F/G	Applicable Percentage Applied to FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col E x Col H	FY 2013 TC IMD Limit (Lesser Of Col I or Col C)	FY 2013 IMD Limit In FS Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$323,093,267	68.53%	\$471,462,523	\$5,027,674	\$4,451,770	\$3,050,798
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$106,384,369	65.68%	\$161,973,765	\$37,684,035	\$28,474,900	\$18,702,314
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.07%	\$1,151,840,630	50.00%	\$2,303,681,260	\$1,635,614	\$1,555,919	\$777,960
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$97,190,657	50.00%	\$194,381,314	\$662,559	\$594,776	\$297,388
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$210,141,962	50.00%	\$420,283,924	\$108,504,179	\$105,573,725	\$52,786,863
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$64,355,975	70.00%	\$91,937,107	\$13,059,358	\$6,545,136	\$4,581,595
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$210,141,962	58.08%	\$361,814,673	\$119,398,842	\$119,398,842	\$69,346,847
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$282,378,262	65.56%	\$430,717,300	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$225,902,609	50.00%	\$451,805,218	\$99,672,933	\$89,408,276	\$44,704,138
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$224,589,223	67.16%	\$334,409,206	\$110,355,038	\$110,355,038	\$74,114,444
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$43,341,780	56.51%	\$76,697,540	\$25,310,188	\$25,310,188	\$14,302,787
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$152,352,923	70.55%	\$215,950,281	\$41,202,167	\$37,443,073	\$26,416,088
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$731,960,000	61.24%	\$1,195,231,875	\$131,139,977	\$131,139,977	\$80,310,122
MAINE	\$99,957,958	\$60,958,342	\$160,916,300	33.00%	\$110,324,530	62.57%	\$176,321,768	\$58,186,183	\$58,186,183	\$36,407,095
MARYLAND	\$22,226,467	\$120,873,531	\$143,099,998	33.00%	\$80,116,623	50.00%	\$160,233,246	\$52,876,971	\$52,876,971	\$26,438,486
MASSACHUSETTS	\$469,653,946	\$105,635,054	\$575,289,000	18.36%	\$320,466,492	50.00%	\$640,932,984	\$117,688,658	\$105,635,054	\$52,817,527
MICHIGAN	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.00%	\$278,438,100	66.39%	\$419,397,650	\$138,401,225	\$138,401,225	\$91,884,573
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$160,233,246	73.43%	\$218,212,238	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.42%	\$497,773,773	61.37%	\$811,102,775	\$230,516,896	\$207,234,618	\$127,179,885
NEVADA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$48,595,328	59.74%	\$81,344,707	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$92,675,916	\$94,753,948	\$187,429,864	33.00%	\$168,217,088	50.00%	\$336,434,176	\$111,023,278	\$94,753,948	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.66%	\$676,394,441	50.00%	\$1,352,788,882	\$441,861,843	\$357,370,461	\$178,685,231
NEW YORK	\$2,418,869,368	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,368	20.01%	\$1,687,702,633	50.00%	\$3,375,405,266	\$675,333,468	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,966	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,593	33.00%	\$309,959,394	65.51%	\$473,148,212	\$156,138,910	\$156,138,910	\$102,286,600
OHIO	\$535,731,956	\$93,432,758	\$629,164,714	14.85%	\$426,850,861	63.58%	\$671,360,272	\$99,698,919	\$93,432,758	\$59,404,548
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$579,199,682	\$967,407,001	33.00%	\$589,710,881	54.28%	\$1,086,423,878	\$358,519,880	\$358,519,880	\$194,604,591

										2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	IMD And Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Applicable Percentage Col C/D	FY 2013 Allotment In FS	FY 2013 FMAPs	FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col F/G	Applicable Percentage Applied to FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col E x Col H	FY 2013 TC IMD Limit (Lesser Of Col I or Col C)	FY 2013 IMD Limit In FS Col G x J
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$68,296,138	51.26%	\$133,234,760	\$2,880,720	\$2,397,833	\$1,229,129
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$366,681,364	\$72,076,341	\$438,757,705	16.43%	\$344,107,463	70.43%	\$488,580,808	\$80,260,965	\$72,076,341	\$50,763,367
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$53,100,000	66.13%	\$80,296,386	\$0	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,028,993	19.33%	\$1,004,741,257	59.30%	\$1,694,336,015	\$327,565,642	\$292,513,592	\$173,460,560
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$23,640,971	56.04%	\$42,185,887	\$13,172,925	\$9,071,297	\$5,083,555
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$7,770,268	\$137,083,748	5.67%	\$92,050,138	50.00%	\$184,100,276	\$10,435,289	\$7,770,268	\$3,885,134
WASHINGTON	\$171,725,815	\$163,836,435	\$335,562,250	33.00%	\$194,381,315	50.00%	\$388,762,630	\$128,291,668	\$128,291,668	\$64,145,834
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,606	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,651	22.00%	\$70,922,912	72.04%	\$98,449,350	\$21,658,997	\$18,887,045	\$13,606,227
TOTAL	\$13,402,460,846	\$4,118,758,904	\$17,521,219,750		\$11,029,697,203		\$19,623,398,153	\$3,718,165,001	\$3,418,809,673	\$1,921,150,660
LOW DSH STATES										
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$21,402,636	50.00%	\$42,805,272	\$14,125,740	\$14,125,740	\$7,062,870
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$45,325,292	70.17%	\$64,593,547	\$16,324,734	\$819,351	\$574,939
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$9,512,282	55.67%	\$17,086,909	\$5,638,680	\$5,638,680	\$3,139,053
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$10,240,000	51.86%	\$19,745,469	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$17,271,044	71.00%	\$24,325,414	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$41,378,149	59.59%	\$69,438,075	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNESOTA	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$78,476,334	50.00%	\$156,952,668	\$27,973,278	\$5,257,214	\$2,628,607
MONTANA	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$11,926,371	66.00%	\$18,070,259	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$29,733,219	55.76%	\$53,323,563	\$11,692,713	\$1,811,337	\$1,010,002
NEW MEXICO	\$6,490,015	\$254,786	\$6,744,801	3.78%	\$21,402,636	69.07%	\$30,986,877	\$1,170,535	\$254,786	\$175,981
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$988,478	\$1,203,001	33.00%	\$10,036,360	52.27%	\$19,200,995	\$6,336,328	\$988,478	\$516,677
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,969	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,217	14.05%	\$38,049,129	64.00%	\$59,451,764	\$8,354,379	\$3,273,248	\$2,094,879
OREGON	\$11,437,908	\$19,975,092	\$31,413,000	33.00%	\$47,561,414	62.44%	\$76,171,387	\$25,136,558	\$19,975,092	\$12,472,447
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,299	\$1,072,419	33.00%	\$11,604,719	56.19%	\$20,652,641	\$6,815,372	\$751,299	\$422,155
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$934,586	\$4,555,702	20.51%	\$20,612,808	69.61%	\$29,611,849	\$6,074,765	\$934,586	\$650,565
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$99,326,563	59.74%	\$166,264,752	\$54,867,368	\$4,492,011	\$2,683,527
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$237,807	50.00%	\$475,614	\$0	\$0	\$0

										2013
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	IMD And Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Applicable Percentage Col C/D	FY 2013 Allotment In FS	FY 2013 FMAPs	FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col F/G	Applicable Percentage Applied to FY 2013 Allotments in TC Col E x Col H	FY 2013 TC IMD Limit (Lesser Of Col I or Col C)	FY 2013 IMD Limit In FS Col G x J
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	\$98,662,480	\$63,238,167	\$161,900,647		\$514,096,763		\$869,157,055	\$184,510,449	\$58,321,822	\$33,431,702
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,326	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$11,543,793,966		\$20,492,555,208	\$3,902,675,450	\$3,477,131,494	\$1,954,582,362

[FR Doc. 2013-17965 Filed 07/25/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 07/26/2013]